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Abstract
In this presentation a comparison is made between the performance of columns packed with 1.9, 2.4 and 5 µm. In particular the efficiency, resolution, run time and column backpressure are compared, as these give a good basis for both column performance and also applicability of the column to the various instrumentation that is currently available.

Introduction
The majority of HPLC separations are still performed on silica-based columns packed with 3 or 5 µm diameter particles. These particle sizes offer a good combination of separation efficiency, relatively low backpressure and column lifetime. The use of smaller particles has advantages in terms of efficiency and speed of analysis, due to a lower eddy diffusion within the column. Columns packed with smaller particles can be run at high flow rates to improve sample turnaround, but without sacrificing efficiency and therefore separation performance. However this improved performance comes at the cost of an increase in the back pressure across the column.

Equation 1 shows the relationship that exists between the backpressure across a column and some of the key parameters, including flow rate, column length and particle size. It can be seen that reducing the particle size has a substantial effect on the backpressure because of the inverse square relationship that exists.

Equation 1. \[ P = \frac{\phi l^2}{\mu d^4} \]

In this instance specialized HPLC equipment may be required to handle pressures above 400 bar; however, short columns packed with small particles often provide a combination of high resolving power and the added advantage of not exceeding the pressure limits of conventional HPLC systems. The work presented in this poster illustrates how to select the best particle size (2.4 or 1.9 µm), column length, and operating conditions to develop fast, robust and sensitive separations.

Materials & Methods
Instrumentation:
Thermo Scientific Accela 600 HPLC system

Columns:
Thermo Scientific Hypersil GOLD 5 µm, 100 x 2.1 mm; Hypersil GOLD™ 2.4 µm, 100 x 2.1 mm; Hypersil GOLD 1.9 µm, 100 x 2.1 mm; Hypersil GOLD 2.4 µm, 150 x 2.1 mm; Hypersil GOLD 2.4 µm, 50 x 2.1 mm

Test method 1:
Mobile phase – H₂O / ACN (50:50) + 0.1% formic acid; Temperature: 30° C; Flow rate: as indicated in figures
Injection volume: 1 µL
Detection: UV at 254nm (0.1s rise time, 20 Hz)

Test method 2:
Mobile phase – H₂O / ACN (50:50); Temperature: 30° C; Flow rate: as indicated in figures
Injection volume: 1 µL
Detection: UV at 254nm (0.1s rise time, 20 Hz)

Test mixture:

Results
Figures 1 compares the performance of columns packed with 3 different particle sizes at different flow rates; the higher efficiency of the smaller particles is obtained at the cost of higher column backpressures as demonstrated in Figures 2 and 3.

Conclusions
- The separation efficiency, resolution and run time of columns packed with Hypersil GOLD 1.9, 2.4 and 5 µm were compared in this work;
- The backpressure of columns packed with Hypersil GOLD 1.9, 2.4 and 5 µm was compared in this work;
- The higher efficiencies obtained with the smaller particles at the expense of higher backpressures;
- Short columns packed with small particles generally provide enough resolution for many separations and these can be used in conventional HPLC systems.
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